

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD FOR RESULTS MANAGEMENT SUMMARY OF MAJOR CHANGES MADE TO THE FIRST DRAFT

Definitions

- Content in section 2.0 (Code Provisions) was added.
- Content was added in subsections 3.1 to 3.7 and/or amended as needed.
- Results Management definition was amended in order to reflect the Code Drafting Team's views and to encompass pre-administration steps deriving from the management of possible Failure to Comply, Whereabouts Failures and ABP violations, and moved on to the "2021 Code Defined Terms" section. Relevant provisions in Article 5 – First Results Management Phase of the ISRM were reviewed accordingly.
- Seven new definitions were added to the ISRM as a consequence of the relocation of three Annexes contained in the current version of the ISTI to this Standard (see Section 1 above), namely: Failure to Comply, Expert Panel, Filing Failure, Missed Test, Passport, Passport Custodian and Whereabouts Failure.

General Principles

- After discussion with the Code drafting team, it was decided that all provisions on "*Responsibility for Conducting Results Management*" and "*Public Disclosure*", initially set forth in Articles 4.1 and 4.3 respectively, shall be removed from the ISRM and remain in the Article 7 of the Code.

Timeliness of Results Management Phases (Articles 4.3 and 5.1.2.1)

- Six-month deadline from initial review to notification of decisions (Article 4.3): The language used now clearly specifies that such deadlines are not mandatory requirements but indicated for guidance only.
- The 10-day timeframe to provide information and/or instructions in writing to Laboratories regarding the B-Sample analysis was removed (Article 5.1.2.1(d)).

Two-Step Notification Process (Articles 5 and 7)

- Content of initial notifications to Athletes and Other Persons was clarified, in particular regarding:
 - The possibility for the Results Management Authority, without limitation, to request further information and/or documents upon receipt of any explanation from an Athlete or Other Person;
 - The importance of hearing the Athlete's and Other Person's explanation before a charge is made and appropriate consequences are proposed;
 - The necessity to draw attention to Substantial Assistance and Code Article 10.8.2 (Case Resolution Agreement).

Hearing Process (Article 8)

- Article 8 was amended to reflect the broad consensus in the consultation to require that:

- First instance hearing panels, if not fully independent, be at least operationally independent;
- Hearings may be conducted by a Single Judge/Sole Arbitrator;
- Athletes or other Persons have the right to request a public Hearing.
- However, discussions will continue during the second phase of consultation on the following requests:
 - First instance hearing panels shall be impartial and structurally independent;
 - Reporting bodies and sanctioning bodies shall be structurally independent and operate independently of each other.

Annexes

The three following Annexes, initially located into the ISTI, are transferred into the ISRM:

- ISRM Annex A – Review of a Possible Failure to Comply (formerly ISTI Annex A – Investigating a Possible Failure to Comply), which involve possible violations of Articles 2.3 (Evading, Refusing or Failing to Submit to Sample Collection by an Athlete) and 2.5 (Tampering or Attempted Tampering with any part of Doping Control by an Athlete or Other Person);
- ISRM Annex B – Results Management for Whereabouts Failures (formerly ISTI Annex I – Code Article 2.4 Whereabouts Requirements, considering the restructuring of this section following the second consultation phase of the ISTI);
- Annex C – Results Management Requirements and Procedures for the Athlete Biological Passport (formerly ISTI Annex L – Results Management Requirements and Procedures for the Athlete Biological Passport).