blay/firué

Summary of the Second Day

ABP Symposium, 7 November 2018, Rome, Italy Marcia MacDonald, Deputy Director, Athlete Biological Passport



ADO perspectives



What's working well?

- ABP programs
 - improve target testing and efficient use of resources
 - are demonstrating a deterrence effect
 - enable targeted specialized analysis

What needs more work?

- Collaboration, communication, information sharing, trust
- Transportation and temperature of ABP blood samples
- ABP programs are tougher in team sports that don't have suitable athlete performance data to direct intelligent testing
- Plasma volume markers could increase value of blood passport

Other highlights



- It's important to have a clear strategy to assess, prioritize, and utilize intelligence
 - One ABP case can lead to several others.
- Liaison with a lab/APMU is helpful to recommend special analyses
 - APMUs could benefit from easier access to lab results
- Strong potential for the use of performance data, but need to encourage more research
- ADAMS re-development is making good progress
 - Building blocks are now in place to overhaul ABP elements and ADOs, APMUs & Experts will be consulted on workflows, requirements and prototypes going forward
- The system needs to move faster—athletes deserve to compete against clean athletes